

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CHRISTOPHER LEE CARD,

Plaintiff,

v.

J. MORENO, et al.,

Defendants.

No. 2:24-cv-2250-TLN-EFB (PC)

ORDER

Plaintiff Christopher Lee Card (“Plaintiff”) proceeds without counsel in this civil rights action seeking relief under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.

On May 29, 2025, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations which were served on all parties and which contained notice that any objections to the findings and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen (14) days. (ECF No. 7.) Plaintiff has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.


The Court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge’s conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) (“[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court[.]”). Having reviewed the file, including Plaintiff’s objections, the Court finds the findings and

1 recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

2 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 3 1. The findings and recommendations filed May 29, 2025, (ECF No. 7), are ADOPTED
4 in full;
- 5 2. The complaint is DISMISSED without prejudice for lack of jurisdiction; and
- 6 3. The Clerk of Court is directed to TERMINATE all pending motions and CLOSE the
7 case.

8 Date: September 9, 2025

9
10 
11 _____
12 TROY L. NUNLEY
13 CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28